AFRICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND SOCIAL SCIENCE STUDIES (AJASSS)



Issue No. 2

2022



Tanzania Institute of Accountancy (TIA) P. O. Box 9522, Dar es Salaam, Tanzania Email: ajasss@tia.ac.tz

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND SOCIAL SCIENCE STUDIES

(AJASSS)



Volume 4

Issue No. 2

2022

Tanzania Institute of Accountancy (TIA) P.O. Box 9522, Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania Email: <u>ajasss@.tia.ac.tz</u>

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND SOCIAL SCIENCE STUDIES (AJASSS)



Volume 4 Issue No. 2 ISSN 2591-6815 Published by the Tanzania Institute of Accountancy P.O. Box 9522, Dar Es Salaam, TANZANIA

Page ii | AJASSS Volume 4, Issue No. 2, 2022

TANZANIA INSTITUTE OF ACCOUNTANCY (TIA)



AFRICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND SOCIAL SCIENCE STUDIES (AJASSS)

Volume 4 Issue No. 2

December 2022

ISSN 2591-6815 eISSN2591-6823 ONLINE

Published by the Tanzania Institute of Accountancy P.O. Box 9522, Dar Es Salaam, TANZANIA

AJASSS Volume 4, Issue No. 2, 2022 | Page iii

Copyright © African Journal of Accounting and Social Science Studies (AJASSS)

All rights reserved, No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieved system or transmitted in any form or by any means, ecteronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, without prior permission of the publisher.

Disclaimer: The opinions expressed in this Journal are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the publisher or the AFRICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND SOCIAL SCIENCE STUDIES (AJASSS)

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND SOCIAL SCIENCE STUDIES (AJASSS)

ISSUED TWICE A YEAR

EVERY JUNE AND DECEMBER

AJASSS Volume 4, Issue No. 2, 2022 | Page v

AFRICAN JOURNAL OF ACCOUNTING AND SOCIAL SCIENCE STUDIES (AJASSS)

AJASSS EDITORIAL BOARD

Chairperson

Prof. Edda Lwoga

- CBE, Tanzania

Managing editor

Dr. Elimeleck P. Akyoo

- TIA, Tanzania

Associate Editors

Dr. Momole Kasambala Dr. Aniceth Kato Mpanju Prof. Florence Wakoko Prof. Khaled Hussainey Prof. Gerald Kagambire Dr. Doaa Aly Dr. Philippa Ward Dr. Richard Jaffu Dr. Richard Jaffu Dr. Modest P. Assenga Prof. Kim Abel Kayunze Prof. John N. Jeckoniah Dr. Alban Mchopa Dr. Indiael Daniel Kaaya Dr. Joel Mmasa CPA Mutaju Marobhe

- TIA, Tanzania
- TIA, Tanzania
- Columbus State University, USA
- University of Portsmouth, UK
- Uganda Management Institute
- University of Gloucestershire, UK
- University of Gloucestershire, UK
- UDOM, Tanzania
- TIA, Tanzania
- SUA, Tanzania
- SUA, Tanzania
- Moshi Cooperative University, Tanzania
- IFM, Tanzania
- UDOM, Tanzania
- Tanzania Institute of Accountancy

TABLES CONTENTS

Academic Staff Mobility in Tanzania's Higher Learning Institutions:
Understanding the Push and Pull Factors1
Beatrice M. Mkunde and Fabian Gallus Mahundu1
https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.1
Quantitative Analysis of Factors Influencing Financial Management among Village Community Banks' Beneficiaries in Mbeya City, Tanzania16 Asheri Mandesu Mwidege
https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.2
Effect of Audit Opinions and Entity's Characteristics on Audit Committees'
Effectiveness in Government Entities in Tanzania
Frank Arbogast Mwombeki
https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.3
The Mediation Effect of Business Environment on How Firm Characteristics Relate to Environmental Disclosure in Tanzania's Extractive Industry54 Ntui Ponsian, Henry Chalu and Siasa Mzenzi
Does Internal Audit Functions Effectiveness influence External Auditors'
Reliance on Internal Audit Work?82
John Sosthenes Mapuli
https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.5
The Influence of Customer Retention Practices on Performance of Micro and
Small Agro-processing Enterprises in Tanzania
Eliakira Nnko
https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.6
Challenges Facing Learners' Acquisition of Employability Competencies under Competency-Based Education and Training Approach in Vocational
Education and Training Centres in Tanzania121
Shukurani Mgaya121
https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.7
Annualized Stock Market Returns Volatility: An Evidence of Dar es Salaam
Stock Exchange148
Asheri Mandesu Mwidege148

https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.8

Determinants of Social Media Marketing Adoption among Small and Medium Enterprises in Dar es Salaam - Tanzania
Quality Assurance Practices in the Time of COVID 19: What Works in Tertiary Institutions in Tanzania
Use of Social Media to Improve Marketing Performance of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Tanzania: Evidence from Coastal Region
Influence of Product Information on Processed Maize Flour Marketing bySmall and Medium Millers in Dodoma City, Tanzania
Effects of Innovation on Business Performance: Empirical Evidence from Manufacturing Firms in Tanzania
Performance of Vat System in Tanzania Since Enactment of The Vat Act in 2014
Procurement Contract Management and Procurement Performance in Parastatal Organisations in Tanzania
Corporate Governance and Firm Performance: Evidence from Microfinance Institutions in Tanzania
Stakeholders' Perception of the Impacts of Supply Chain Management on Tanzania Construction Projects' Performance

Determinants of Social Media Marketing Adoption among Small and Medium Enterprises in Dar es Salaam - Tanzania

Justine Augustine¹, Makawa Newa ²

¹Tanzania Institute of Accountancy, ruda50@yahoo.com ²Mzumbe University, nmakawa@mzumbe.ac.tz

*Corresponding author: ruda50@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

The general objective of the study was to examine the determinants of social media marketing adoption among Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Ilala Municipality, Dar es Salaam. The study provides the effects of technological, organizational and environmental factors on adoption of social media marketing in the SMEs sector. This study used descriptive research design: thus primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire, whereas multiple regression analysis was used to analyse the collected data from 122 SMEs owners who were selected through simple random sampling. The SPSS software was used for data analysis. The results from multiple regression analysis showed that technological factors had positive and significant relationship with the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs (p = 0.001). Moreover, the findings showed that organisational factors and adoption of social media marketing were positively related (p = 0.000). Furthermore, the findings indicated that there was positive and significant relationship between environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing in SMEs (p = 0.002). The study concludes that technological. organizational and environmental factors determine social media marketing adoption for SMEs. However, organizational factors are the major determinants of social media marketing adoption in SMEs. The study recommends that the management of SMEs should improve organizational environment such as employee skills by providing training in order to increase the use of social media marketing in SMEs. Government should provide support and comprehensive policy to foster growth of SMEs in Tanzania through adoption of social media marketing, and the SMEs management should accommodate technology innovation to support easy adoption of Social media marketing.

Keywords: Social Media Marketing, Technological, Organizational and Environmental Factors

Received: 25-09-2022 Accepted: 30-11-2022 Published: 31-12-2022 <u>https://dx.doi.org/10.4314/ajasss.v4i2.9</u>

1.0 Introduction

The adoption of social media is rapidly becoming essential for businesses (Malesev & Cherry, 2021). Social media involve exchanging user-generated content, using real-time feedback and building communities of consumers to support business processes (Effendi et al., 2020; Hartanto & Soelaiman, 2021). Rugova & Prenaj (2016) consider social media as those digital platforms, services and apps built around the merging of content sharing, public communication, and interpersonal connection. Moreover, Malesev & Cherry (2021) add that social media are internet based platforms and contents that allow the formation and exchange of user created contents, usually using either mobile or web-based technologies.

Currently, social media are widely used by large and small companies as marketing tools because they help companies and consumers to co-create products (Khamaludin et al., 2022; Rugova & Prenaj, 2016). Trawnih et al., (2021) suggest that firms can use social media applications in various areas, including sales and marketing, research and development, customer support and operations. Social media adoption in Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) has created a lot of opportunities (Patma et al., 2021). Ali-Abbasi et al. (2022) affirm that the unprecedented growth of social media usage has widened up new windows for SMEs to get in touch with their customers. Social media offer an innovative method of reaching out more customers (Rugova & Prenaj, 2016). With the help of social media channels, communications between SMEs and customers have been enhanced at a rapid pace (Malesev & Cherry, 2021; Trawnih et al., 2021). In particular, social media are viewed as essential tools for facilitating and enhancing the degree of customer interaction (Patma et al., 2021). Thus, social media marketing has become an indispensable tool for customer relationship approach in SMEs.

According to Hartanto & Soelaiman (2021), SMEs are very dynamic in their operation. Thus, it is easy for SMEs to adopt new technologies such as the use of social media for marketing activities (Ali-Abbasi et al., 2022). SMEs are suitable adopters of change, are more flexible in their operations, and better adapt and accept recent technological changes than large firms (Malesev & Cherry, 2021; Rugova & Prenaj, 2016). On the other hand, due to small size and more straightforward structure, SMEs seek new ways of adopting evolution and advancement in social media within the marketplace (Erlangga, 2021).

In the context of Tanzania, most of the SMEs are experiencing adoption barriers in accepting new technologies such the use of social media (Swallehe, 2021). It can be argued that adopting and integrating social media into SMEs' marketing process

will not only widen new avenues of opportunities but also modify its business operational processes (Hartanto & Soelaiman, 2021). Social media are viewed as essential tools for facilitating and enhancing the degree of customer interaction and that is why social media marketing has become an indispensable tool for customer relationship approach in the business firms (Khamaludin et al., 2021; Erlangga, 2021).

Despite the fact that social media marketing plays a significant role to increase the business performance, SMEs need to adopt technology innovation appropriately (McCannal & Barlow, 2015), but studies on social media adoption among SMEs in Tanzania is still at an infancy stage (Qalati et al., 2021). In Tanzania, SMEs are still experiencing challenges of adopting social media marketing (Swallehe, 2021). However, in the context of the SMEs sector, little is known about factors determining social media usage (Trawnih et al., 2021; Rugova & Prenaj, 2016).

Moreover, previous studies indicate that there is no common agreement among scholars about the determinants of social media marketing adoption among SMEs. Some of the studies found that technological features, organizational characteristics and owners' knowledge have positive and significant relationship with social media marketing adoption in SMEs (Trawnih et al., 2021; Effendi et al., 2020; Ali-Abbasi et al., 2022). Other scholars have revealed that technological context had no significant effect on social media marketing adoption in SMEs (AlSharji et al., 2018; Hartanto & Soelaiman, 2021). Previous studies indicate that there are conflicting ideas among scholars on the determinants of social media marketing adoption among SMEs. Consequently, this study aimed to examine the determinants of social media marketing adoption among SMEs.

2.0 Literature Review

2.1 Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Theory

This study was grounded on Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) theory. This theory was developed by Tornatzky and Fleischer's (1990) to examine organizations across several perspectives (AlSharji et al., 2018). Previous studies such as ones by Trawnih et al. (2021), Hartanto & Soelaiman (2021), and Effendi et al. (2020) used the TOE theory to explain how technological, organizational and environmental factors may influence the adoption of social media in SMEs. This theory is basically used to explains technology adoption in company and describe how the process of adopting technological innovations is affected by technological factors, organizational factors, and environmental factors (Trawnih et al., 2021; AlSharji et al., 2018).

This study used TOE theory to explain social media adoption in SMEs due to various reasons. First, this theory is widely accepted in explaining social media adoption in SMEs. Previous scholars such as Trawnih et al. (2021), Hartanto & Soelaiman (2021), and Effendi et al. (2020) used the TOE theory. Second, previous studies on the TOE model have shown that it has broad applicability and can explain adoption in a number of technological, industrial and national contexts. It is the only framework that fully covers environmental factors (AlSharji et al., 2018). Also, the TOE theory has consistent empirical support in various technological and information system domains, and is a generic theory of technology diffusion (Trawnih et al., 2021; AlSharji et al., 2018). The theory can be used to investigate different types of innovation including social media adoption (AlSharji et al., 2018; Hartanto & Soelaiman, 2021).

2.1.1 Technological Factors

A technological factor is defined as any technology that is either being used by a company or that is available and is recognized to be potentially useful, but is not yet being used (Ali-Abbasi et al., 2022; Trawnih et al., 2021). Another study by Effendi et al. (2020) considers technological factors to focus on internal and external technologies that are beneficial for organizations that discuss the technical knowledge needed to apply social media. Previous studies such as one by Trawnih et al. (2021) and Effendi et al. (2020) indicated that technological factors and adoption of social media marketing are positively related. Conversely, the studies by other scholars like Hartanto & Soelaiman (2021) indicate that there is insignificant relationship between technological factors and social media marketing adoption. Thus, the following hypothesis statement was formulated:

H₁: Technological factors have positive effects on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs.

2.1.2 Organizational Factors

Previous studies such as ones by Malesev & Cherry (2021) and Rugova & Prenaj (2016) have defined organizational factors as all the features of the organisation including firm size, employees' skills, and top management support and cost perception. Moreover, AlSharji et al. (2018) describe organizational factors as the overall features of an organisation including staff and their relationships and networks. The organisational factors referred to as the characteristics and resources of the firm including the firm's size, employees' skills, and top management support (Erlangga, 2021; Rugova & Prenaj, 2016; Kidd et al., 2022). Preceding studies such as ones by Matikiti et al. (2018), Trawnih et al. (2021), and Effendi et al. (2020) indicated that organisational factors and adoption of social media marketing are positively related. Therefore, the following hypothesis statement was formulated:

H₂: Organizational factors have positive effects on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs.

2.1.3 Environmental Factors

The environment is all those factors outside an organisation, including the conditions in which it operates. It therefore covers industry structure, availability of technology and any regulatory requirements (Hartanto & Soelaiman, 2021; Kidd et al., 2022). Rogers (2002) argues that the business environment is one of the vital factors that can either encourage or hinder the process of technology adoption. In this study the environmental factors are considered as relating to external factors whose support is necessary for the survival and growth of SMEs. Previous studies such as ones by Trawnih et al. (2021) and Effendi et al. (2020) indicated that environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing are positively related. Thus, the following hypothesis statement was formulated:

H₃: Environmental factors have positive effects on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs.

2.2 Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of this study is illustrated in Figure 1. It shows that technological, organizational and environmental factors affect social media marketing adoption in SMEs. This is supported by studies such as ones by Ali-Abbasi et al. (2022), Effendi et al. (2020), and Trawnih et al. (2021) who found positive effect of technological, organisational and environmental factors on social media marketing adoption. It also suggests that technological factors as independent variables of the study affect social media marketing adoption in SMEs through perceived benefits, compatibility and complexity. Moreover, the conceptual mode assumes that organizational factors affect social media marketing adoption through firm size, employees' skills, top management support, and customers and cost perception. Furthermore, environmental factors affect social media marketing adoption through government support, competitive pressure and infrastructure.





Source: Ali-Abbasi et al. (2022); Effendi et al. (2020); Trawnih et al. (2021)

3.0 Research Methodology

Descriptive research design was used in this study. This research design allows collection of data from a larger sample at relatively low costs (Hair et al., 2010). Moreover, the study employed quantitative approach because it aimed to establish the relationship between the studied variables. The study was conducted in Ilala Municipality. The area was selected because it has the largest number of SMEs compared to other municipalities in Dar es Salaam. According to Small Industries Development Organization "SIDO" (2020), a large number of SMEs are in Dar es Salaam city, particularly in Ilala Municipality.

The study population involved business owners who undertook day to day activities of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Dar es Salaam city. According to SIDO (2020), there are 27,889 registered SMEs in Ilala. Therefore, the study population was 27,889 SMEs owners from Ilala Municipality. According to Adam (2021), sample size estimation is required to be derived using a specific formula. According to Stevens (1996), the sample size that was used for multiple linear regression in this study was determined as follows:

N = 50 + 8 m.....(i)

Where:

N = Sample size for multiple linear regression and m = Sum of independent variables. The sum of independent variables in this study is 10 variables. Therefore, the sample size for this study is

N = 50 + 8(10).... (ii) N = 130

This study targeted business owners who undertook day to day activities of Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in Dar es Salaam city. In order to obtain respondents, the study used simple random sampling as proposed by previous studies such as Erlangga (2021); Khamaludin et al. (2022) where the respondents were given equal chances of being chosen randomly to make generalization representing the given population of 27,889 SMEs owners. The current study used simple random sampling to select SMEs owners who were involved in the day to day activities of SMEs in Ilala Municipality. Respondents were picked from lists of SMEs using a table of random numbers. Then the researcher administered a closedended questionnaire to gather information from selected respondents, focusing on demographic information and relationship among constructs of the study.

The variables that were examined in this study are technological, organizational and environmental factors (independent variables), and the dependent variable was social media. Responses to technological, organizational, environmental factors, and social media marketing adoption were measured on a 5-point Likert-like scale on which there were five alternative answers which ranged from 1 = Strongly disagree to 5 = Strongly agree.

Variables	Construct	Measurement	Source
Technological	Perceived benefits	5-point Likert	Erlangga (2021);
factors	• Compatibility	scale	Malesev & Cherry
	• Complexity		(2021)
Organizational	• Firm size	5-point Likert	Khamaludin et al.
factors	 Employee skills 	scale	(2022); Rugova &
	 Top management 		Prenaj (2016)
	support		
	Cost perception		
Environmental	 Government support 	5-point Likert	Malesev & Cherry
factors	• Competitive pressure	scale	(2021); Rugova &
	• Infrastructure		Prenaj (2016)
Social media	Social media presence	5-point Likert	Rugova & Prenaj
marketing adoption	 Social media 	scale	(2016); Patma et al.,
	customers' interaction		(2021).
	 Social media posts 		

Table 1: Measurement of the Variables

This study used a structured questionnaire for collection of primary data. The study used this method because it allows quantification of data for quantitative analysis as suggested by Khodaei et al. (2022). Also, the use of questionnaire provided a chance for the researcher to give clarifications to participants on issues that needed explanation, and this motivated the respondents to answer all questions.

The analysis of data was aided by Statistical Package for Social Scientists (SPSS). Descriptive and inferential analyses were conducted as proposed by Patma et al., (2021). For inferential analysis, multiple regression analysis was used as recommended by Malesev & Cherry (2021) to assess the strength of the relationships between social media marketing adoption and its predictor variables. Multiple linear regression was appropriate as all explanatory variables involved a number of predictors. Thus, the following multiple regression equation was used.

Asmm = Adoption of social media marketing, β_0 = Regression coefficient, β_1 , β_2 , β_3 = Constant regression term, Tf = Technological factor, Of = Organizational factor, Ef =Environmental factor and ε_i = Error term

4.0 Results

4.1 Respondents' Profiles

This study explored the profile of the respondents for the purpose of gaining insight of the nature and characteristics of the respondents based on the age of the respondents, gender and education level. The results indicate that a large number of SMEs owners 58 (47.5%) were aged between 21 to 40 years, followed by those with 41 to 60 years (32.0%), and 11.5% of the SMEs owners were below 20 years. Moreover, the results in Table 2 show that few of the SMEs owners (9.0%) were aged 61 years and above. The findings imply that more young entrepreneurs are involved in SMEs operations than older people. Also, they are more involved in social media activities. As it was found by Ali-Abbasi et al. (2022), young SMEs owners are more involved in social media marketing activities compared to older people.

On the other hand, the results in Table 2 provide the distribution of the studied SMEs owners in terms of gender. The findings reveal that the majority of the SMEs owners for this study (62.3%) were males and a small number of the SMEs owners were females (37.7%). The results imply that males are more involved in SMEs than females. It may also imply that males are more risk takers compared to females. Similar findings were reported in the previous studies such as ones by Effendi et al. (2020) and Trawnih et al. (2021) who found that males are more involved in the SMEs operations compared to females.

Furthermore, the findings show that a large number of the SMEs owners (40.2%) had secondary/high school education, and 27.9% of the SMEs owners had attained certificate or diploma education. The findings in Table 2 show that 16.4% of the SMEs owners had Bachelor's Degrees or Advanced Diploma qualification, and those with primary school level education were 12.3% of the SMEs owners. Moreover, the least number (3.3%) was recorded from the Master's Degree and PhD group. The findings imply that SMEs operations are done by educated owners with secondary or high school education, certificate or diploma, Bachelor's Degrees or Advanced Diploma qualifications.

Age of the Respondents	Frequency	Per cent
Below 20 years	14	11.5
21 – 40 years	58	47.5
41 – 60 years	39	32.0
61 and above	11	9.0
Total	122	100.0
Gender of the Respondents		
Male	76	62.3
Female	46	37.7
Total	122	100.0
Level of Education		
Primary school	15	12.3
Secondary/High school	49	40.2
Certificate/Diploma	34	27.9
Bachelor degree/Advanced	20	16.4
Diploma		
Master's degree and PhD	4	3.3
Total	122	100.0

Table 2: Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents

4.1 Results of Multiple Regression Assumptions

This study used multiple regression to test the relationship between variables. Thus, it was necessary to comply with the assumptions of the linear regression model. To ensure the study complied with the assumptions of linear regression model, the principal assumptions of linear regression model were tested. According to Osborne and Waters (2002) and Zhang and Belsky (2022), there are four principal assumptions of linear regression model which are: linearity, normality, autocorrelation and multi-collinearity.

4.1.1 Linearity Test

One of the assumptions of multiple linear regression is linearity (Xu and Gui, 2021). Therefore, the study tested for a linear relationship between the independent variables (technological, organizational and environmental factors) and the dependent variable (social media marketing adoption). This study tested for linearity assumption by inspection of scatter plots. The results from inspection of scatter plots indicated no violation of the linearity assumption, as data points on the scatter plots closely resembled a straight line, as indicated in Figure 2. According to Xu and Gui (2021), relationship is linear if data points on the scatter plot are close to the straight line.

Figure 2: Scatter Plot



Normal P-P Plot of Social Media Marketing Adoption

According to Reading (2020), scatter diagram is an extremely simple statistical tool used to show a relationship between two variables. Thus, it must be accompanied by other methods to reach conclusion on linearity of data. Therefore, this study used another method (correlation analysis) to test for linearity of data as suggested in previous studies such as ones by Mmasi and Mwaifyusi (2021) and Erlangga (2021).

The results from correlation analysis in Table 3 indicate that the correlation between social media marketing adoption and technological factor was r = 0.826 with p < 0.000); organizational factor had an r = 0.748 with p < 0.000), and environmental factor had an r = 0.836 with p < 0.000). The existence of strong correction between variables implies that the linearity assumption was not violated in this study.

	Factor	Factor	Factor	Marketing Adoption
Pearson Correlation	1	.183	.228	.826
Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000	.000
Ν	122	122	122	122
	Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N	Pearson Correlation 1 Sig. (2-tailed) N 122	Pearson Correlation1.183Sig. (2-tailed).000N122	FactorFactorFactorPearson Correlation1.183.228Sig. (2-tailed).000.000N122122122

Table 3: Correlations Coefficients

Organizational Factor	Pearson Correlation	.183	1	.146	.748
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000	.000
	Ν	122	122	122	122
Environmental Factor	Pearson Correlation	.228	.146	1	.836
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000		.000
	Ν	122	122	122	122
Social Media Marketing Adoption	Pearson Correlation	.826	.748	.836	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	.000	
	Ν	122	122	122	122

4.1.2 Normality Test

According to Schmidt and Finan (2018), normality assumption avows that there is a normal distribution of sample for independent variables. In this study, test for normality of data was performed by using skewness and kurtosis statistics as proposed by previous studies such as ones by Patma et al. (2021) and Rugova and Prenaj (2016). Schmidt and Finan (2018) recommend the acceptable threshold value for both skewness and kurtosis to be ± 2 , but other scholars such as Ali-Abbasi et al. (2022) and Zhang and Belsky (2022) argue that the acceptance of threshold values for both skewness and kurtosis are up to ± 7 . This study found that the values for both skewness and kurtosis were within the threshold value of ± 7 .

The results in Table 4 indicate that skewness statistics were within the range from 0.109 to 0.39. On the other hand, the results show that Kurtosis statistics ranged from -0.447 to 0.252. The results imply that normality assumption was met in this study since the values for both skewness and kurtosis fell within the threshold value of ± 7 .

	N	Skewness		Kurtosis	
	Statistic	Statistic	Std. Error	Statistic	Std. Error
Technological Factor	122	.325	.219	185	.435
Organizational Factor	122	.109	.219	396	.435
Environmental Factor	122	.621	.219	.252	.435
Social Media Marketing Adoption	122	.390	.219	447	.435
Valid N (listwise)	122				

Table 4: Skewness and Kurtosis Results

4.1.3 Autocorrelation Test

Autocorrelation problem occurs when error terms are not independent of each other (Xu and Gui, 2021). Multiple regression model requires a data set to be free from autocorrelation problem (Schmidt and Finan, 2018). In this study, test for autocorrelation assumption was carried out by using Durbin-Watson (DW) statistic as it is recommended in the previous studies such as ones by Trawnih et al. (2021) and Mmasi and Mwaifyusi (2021). According to Sunarsih et al. (2020), the study is free from autocorrelation in case the DW value is approximately or equal to 2. The results in Table 5 show that the Durbin-Watson (DW) value was 1.961. Since, DW value was approximately 2, this implies that there was no statistically significant autocorrelation problem.

Table 5: Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Durbin-Watson
1	.848ª	.719	.603	2.22612	1.961
a. Predictors: (Constant), Environmental Factor, Technological Factor, Organizational Factor					
b. Dependent Variable: Social Media Marketing Adoption					

4.1.4 Multi-Collinearity Test

Multiple regression model requires a data set to be free from multi-collinearity. Multi-collinearity problem is the situation in which independent variables are highly correlated with each other in a multiple regression equation. The current study employed Tolerance values and Variance Inflation Factors (VIFs) to test the multi-collinearity assumption as recommended by Trawnih et al. (2021) and Mazengo and Mwaifyusi (2021). According to Hair et al. (2010), tolerance values less than 0.2 and VIF values greater than 5 show the existence of multi-collinearity problem. The findings in Table 6 show that both Tolerance and VIF values did not exceed the threshold values. The results imply that this study was free from multi-collinearity problem.

Table 6: Multi-Collinearity Statistics

	Collinearity Statist	ics	
Model	Tolerance	VIF	
Technological Factor	.992	1.008	
Organizational Factor	.991	1.009	
Environmental Factor	.997	1.003	

a. Dependent Variable: Social Media Marketing Adoption

4.2 Results from Validity and Reliability Test 4.2.1 Reliability Test

Internal consistency was tested by using Cronbach's Alpha coefficient as suggested by previous studies such as Malesev & Cherry (2021); Patma et al. (2021). The cutoff point of 0.7 and above was considered to be good as recommended by Hair et al. (2016). The results in Table 7 show that the coefficient of Cronbach's Alpha for technological factors, organizational factors, environmental factors and social media marketing adoption were greater than the recommended value of 0.7. Cronbach's Alpha values ranged from 0.756 to 0.869. The results confirm that reliability of the instrument was not violated in this study.

	Cronbach's Alpha
Technological Factor	0.869
Organizational Factor	0.756
Environmental Factor	0.816
Social Media Marketing Adoption	0.822

Table 7: Cronbach's Alpha Coefficient

4.2 Validity Test

4.2.1 Content Validity

Content validity was attained by conducting a comprehensive literature review in relation to technological factors, organizational factors, environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. Additionally, all variables for this study originated from the theory as suggested by Erlangga (2021). Therefore, content validity was observed in this study.

4.2.2 Convergent Validity

This is a situation in which the scale items of a certain construct share high proportions of variance (Hair et al., 2010). In this study, convergent validity was examined through factor loadings as recommended by Malesev & Cherry (2021). According to Hair et al. (2010), the threshold value of factor loadings should be 0.5 or above.

This study employed Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA) to measure convergent validity. A study by Malesev & Cherry (2021) proposed that EFA can be used to measure convergent validity by examining factor loadings. The results in Table 9 show that factor loadings for technological factors, organizational factors, environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing were above 0.5. The findings imply that convergent validity was not violated. According to Hair et al. (2010), convergent validity is attained when the value of factor loadings is 0.5 or

above. On the other hand, the findings in Table 3 show that correlation between social media marketing adoption and technological factors was r = 0.826 with p < 0.000); between social media marketing adoption and organizational factors r = 0.748 with p < 0.000), and between social media marketing adoption and environmental factors r = 0.836 with p < 0.000). The existence of strong correlation between the variables implies that linearity assumption was not violated in this study. The presence of strong correlation implies that convergent validity was not violated.

4.2.3 Discriminant Validity

Discriminant validity shows how the dimensions differ from other latent dimensions (Hair et al., 2010). This study tested for discriminant validity by comparing the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) of each dimension with the square of the correlations between the dimensions. According to Hair et al. (2010), to test for discriminant validity, the AVE value for technological factors, organizational factors, environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing were compared with the square of the correlations. The findings in Table 9 indicate that the AVE values for technological factors, environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing were greater than the squared correlation coefficients. The results suggest that discriminant validity was not violated in this study.

According to Erlangga (2021), it is necessary to conduct Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) test before EFA. Malesev & Cherry (2021) suggested that Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) and Bartlett's Test of sphericity must be tested for the purpose of identifying the fitness of the data for EFA. The findings in Table 8 show that the value of KMO was 0.907, while, Bartlett's test for sphericity was significant ($p \le 0.000$). The results imply that the data were fit for EFA.

Table 6. KWIO and Dat liett 5 Test			
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy907			
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	691.291	
	Df	10	
	Sig.	.000	

Table 8: KMO and Bartlett's Test

In this study, the results from KMO and Bartlett's Test indicate that the data were fit for EFA; the KMO value was 0.907 while the Bartlett's Test of Sphericity was significant at p < 0.05.

Variables	Loading	AVE
Technological Factor		.818
Perceived Benefits	.729	
Compatibility	.694	
Complexity	.545	
Organizational Factor		.891
Firm Size	.798	
Employees Skills	.653	
Top Management Support	.933	
Cost Perception	.696	
Environmental Factor		.895
Government Support	.816	
Competitive Pressure	.784	
ICT Infrastructure	.653	
Social Media Marketing Adoption		.854
Social Media Presence	.848	
Social Media Customers Interaction	.743	
Social Media Posts	.783	

Table 9: EFA Output

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

4.2.4 Face Validity

Face validity refers to validity at face value. This shows the degree to which the data collection instrument, specifically the questionnaire, appears to measure what it was intended to measure (Khodaei et al., 2022). This study ensured face validity by looking at the questionnaire as suggested by Sacomori et al. (2022).

4.3 Descriptive Statistics

Table 10 presents descriptive analysis results for technological factors, organisational factors, environmental factors and social media marketing adoption in terms of number of observations, minimum values, maximum values, means and standard deviations.

	n	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
Technological factor	122	4.00	13.00	8.2951	1.96956
Organizational factor	122	4.00	16.00	10.0164	2.65661
Environmental factor	122	3.00	13.00	6.3770	1.91699
Social media marketing adoption	122	3.00	13.00	7.3033	2.22268
Valid N (listwise)	122				

Table 10: Descriptive Statistics

The findings in Table 10 show that all variables had a total of 122 observations. Also, the findings show that the minimum value for technological factors was 4.0; the maximum value was 13.00; the mean was 8.2951; and the standard deviation was 1.96956. Also, the findings indicate that organizational factors had a minimum value of 4, a maximum value of 16.00, a mean value of 10.0164 and a standard deviation 2.65661. Moreover, environmental factors had a minimum value of 3, a maximum value of 13.00, a mean value of 6.3770 and a standard deviation of 1.91699. Furthermore, the maximum and minimum values for social media marketing adoption were 3.0 and 13.00 respectively, with a mean value of 7.3033 and a standard deviation value of 2.22268.

4.4 Findings from Multiple Regression

The results in Table 11 indicate that the coefficient of determination (R^2) was equal to 0.719. The results imply that 71.9% of adoption of social media marketing in SMEs was explained by three independent variables, namely technological factors, organizational factors and environmental factors.

		Standardized Unstandardized Coefficients Coefficients				
Model		В	Std. Error	Beta	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	6.900	1.300		5.306	.000
	Technological Factor	.520	.303	.218	4.198	.001
	Organizational Factor	.645	.477	.353	4.582	.000
	Environmental Factor	.460	.306	.238	3.511	.002
a. D	ependent Variable: Socia	l Media Ma	rketing Adoption	L		

Table 11: Results from Multiple Regression

 $R^2 = .719$

4.5 Discussion of the Findings

4.5.1 Technological Factors and Adoption of Social Media in SMEs

The study examined whether technological factors could have positive effect on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs as it is stated in the first hypothesis (H₁). Empirical findings in Table 11 strongly supported the first hypothesis, because of positive and significant contribution of technological factors to adoption of social media marketing in SMEs (B-value = 0.520, t = 4.198, p = 0.001). This implies that a unit increase in technological factor would lead to 0.520 increases in the scores of adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. This means that understanding and incorporating technological factors in business operations of SMEs lead to easy adoption of social media marketing adoption for business growth and better

performance. The results support the TOE theory which assumes that technological factors have positive effects on adoption of social media marketing.

The results are consistent with results of previous works by Trawnih et al. (2021) and Malesev and Cherry (2021) who found technological factors to be determinants of social media adoption due to existence of positive and significant relationship between such factors and social media adoption. Similar results were obtained in Indonesia by Effendi et al. (2020) who concluded that technological factors had positive and significance relationship with social media adoption in SMEs. This is confirmed by Erlangga (2021) who also revealed that there is positive and significant relationship between technological factors and social media adoption. SMEs decision makers must be shown that technological factors provide considerable influence on the use of social media by SMEs.

A recent work by Ali-Abbasi et al. (2022) asserts that technological factors significantly influenced the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs in Malaysia. In order to increase social media uses among SMEs, technological factors such as perceived benefits, and compatibility of the social media must be improved. The findings, however, contradict those findings by Hartanto & Soelaiman (2021) and Chatterjee et al. (2021) who found that technological factors are not significantly related with social media adoption. However, the study was conducted in large organisations where technological and management factors are quite different from those of Tanzanian SMEs.

4.5.2 Organizational Factors and Adoption of Social Media in SMEs

This study also analysed effects of organizational factors on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. It was found that organisational factors had significant and positive effects on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. This implies that improvement in organisational factors play significant contribution to increasing adoption of social media marketing in SMEs.

The results in Table 11 indicate that organisational factors and adoption of social media marketing are positively related (B-value = 0.645, t = 4.582, p = 0.000). This means that a unit increases in organizational factors would lead to 0.645 times increase in the scores of adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. The findings suggest that there is positive and significant relationship between organizational factors and adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. Hence, H₂ of the study was supported that organizational factors which had positive effect on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. The findings of previous works such as ones by Khamaludin et al. (2022) and Rugova & Prenaj (2016) who

found organisational factors and social media adoption being positively and significantly related. Organisational factors are among the key factors determining the adoption of social media in SMEs due to existence of positive and significant association between organisational factor and social media adoption. This aligns with the TOE theory which explains about technology adoption based on organizational features.

On the other hand, a previous research by Effendi et al. (2020) found that organizational factors and adoption of social media adoption in SMEs are positively and significantly related. The same results were found in the same year by Eze et al. (2020) in Nigeria, who revealed that organisational factors have positive and significant relationship with social media marketing technology adoption in SMEs. This was confirmed by Wulandari (2021) who also revealed that there is positive and significant relationship between organisational factors and social media adoption in SMEs. However, the results are contrary to results of a study by Ahmad et al. (2018) in UAE who found that organisational factors have no significant effect on social media adoption in SMEs. However, the study that was conducted in UAE might have influenced by the country having different socio-economic, political and technological environments. Thus, SMEs decision makers must be shown that organisational factors provide considerable influence on the use of social media by SMEs.

4.5.3 Environmental Factors and Adoption of Social Media in SMEs

The current study aimed at examining the effect of environmental factors on the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. The results show that environmental factors positively affected the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs, and hence H₃, which stated that environmental factors have positive effects on adoption of social media marketing in SMES, was accepted in this study.

The findings in Table 11 indicate that there was positive and significant relationship between environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing in SMEs (B-value = 0.460, t = 3.511, p = 0.002). This means that that a unit increase in environmental factors would lead to 0.460 times increase in the scores of adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. This implies that, as environmental factors increase, the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs also increases. The results support the TOE theory which assumes that environmental factors have positive effects on adoption of social media marketing.

These findings are in agreement with those found by other scholars such as Rugova and Prenaj (2016) and Patma et al. (2021) who found positive and significant

relationships between environmental factors and adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. Therefore, SMEs decision makers must observe environmental factors key factors determining the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. However, the findings are contrary to ones from a study by Ahmad et al. (2018) in UAE, who revealed that environmental factors have no significant effect on social media adoption in SMEs. However, the study was conducted in UAE, a country with different socio-economic, political and technological environments.

5.0 Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

Findings from this study revealed that technological factors; specifically perceived benefits, compatibility and complexity; have significant and positive effects on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. With respect to these findings, it is concluded that technological factors significantly and positively affect adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. It can be said that technological factors are among the determinants of social media marketing adoption in SMEs.

One of the specific objectives in this study was to analyse organizational factors affecting the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. The findings indicate that organisational factors have a significant and positive relationship with the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. Thus, the study concludes from these findings that organizational factors (i.e. firm size, employee skills and cost perception) lead to increase in adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. In other words, organizational factors are among the determinants of adoption of social media marketing in SMEs.

Another specific objective of the study aimed to investigate environmental factors affecting adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. The results showed that environmental factors had significant and positive influence on adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. This means that, as environmental factors increase, the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs also increases. Hence, the study concludes that environmental factors such as government support, competitive pressure, and infrastructure are determinants of social media adoption in SMEs. This means that environmental factors predict the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs, due to presence of positive and significant relationship between environmental factors and the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs.

5.2 Recommendations

It is recommended that, for facilitating the adoption of social media marketing, the management of SMEs should create better environment for their businesses to use

social media marketing. It is also recommended that the management of SMEs should invest more in enhancing technological environment by ensuring that SMEs are able to adopt social media platforms for marketing activities. Moreover, the management of SMEs should make sure that technology is accommodated into marketing strategy of the SMEs; this will facilitate smooth adoption of social media marketing.

It is also recommended that the government should develop a comprehensive and SMEs protection policy in order to foster the growth of the SMEs in Tanzania. This will increase the adoption of social media marketing. It is also recommended that the government, through Small Industries Development Organization (SIDO), should ensure that SMEs owners are trained on various issues including the benefits of using social media marketing. Also, provision of training to SMEs employees is one of the strategies for increasing the adoption of social media marketing.

The study recommends that the government should support the adoption of social media marketing in SMEs by improving ICT infrastructure; this will play significant contribution to increase the use of social media. It is also recommended that, while providing a well-defined and comprehensive SMEs protection environment, efforts should include improvement of the legal and regulatory issues governing the use of social media in Tanzania. This will increase the use of social media among SMEs.

5.3 Areas for Further Studies

This study recommends various areas where impending studies can be conducted. The current study examined the effect of technological, organizational and environmental factors on adoption of social media marketing in the SMEs industry. Other scholars may research on the existing relationship between these constructs on specific SMEs sector like insurance, transport, health and fashion business. Also, future studies may classify SMEs according to their products or services offered. On the other hand, this study was limited to Dar es Salaam region – Ilala district, but other studies may extend the study to include other districts within the same or other regions in Tanzania in order to gather more information on the effect of technological, organizational and environmental factors on adoption of social media marketing. Future research may also consider combining the TOE theory with other theories such as the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) in order to have a comprehensive model to explain the determinants of social media adoption in SMEs. Furthermore, the current study was a cross-sectional one in the sense that data were collected at a single point of time. Therefore, future studies should consider conducting longitudinal studies in examining the determinants of adoption of social media marketing in SMEs. Additionally, the same study may be replicated to other countries in the SMEs sector and compare the results. This is because perceptions of the SMEs owners vary according to cultural norms.

References

- Ahmad, S. Z., Bakar, A. R. A., & Ahmad, N. (2018). Social media adoption and its impact on firm performance: the case of the UAE. International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research, 3(4), 103-136.
- Ali-Abbasi, G., Abdul Rahim, N. F., Wu, H., Iranmanesh, M., & Keong, B. C. (2022). Determinants of SME's Social Media Marketing Adoption: Competitive Industry as a Moderator. SAGE Open, 12(1), 1-18.
- AlSharji, A., Ahmad, S. Z., & Bakar, A. R. A. (2018). Understanding social media adoption in SMEs: Empirical evidence from the United Arab Emirates. Journal of Entrepreneurship in Emerging Economies. 10(2), 302-328.
- Blanch, J., Walter, T., & Enge, P. (2018). Gaussian bounds of sample distributions for integrity analysis. IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems, 55(4), 1806-1815.
- Bonett, D. G., & Wright, T. A. (2011). Sample size requirements for multiple regression interval estimation. Journal of Organizational Behavior, 32(6), 822-830.
- Chatterjee, S., Rana, N. P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Baabdullah, A. M. (2021). Understanding AI adoption in manufacturing and production firms using an integrated TAM-TOE model. Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 17(2), 120-138.
- Devane, D., Begley, C. M., & Clarke, M. (2004). How many do I need? Basic principles of sample size estimation. Journal of advanced nursing, 47(3), 297-302.
- Effendi, M. I., Sugandini, D., & Istanto, Y. (2020). Social media adoption in SMEs impacted by COVID-19: The TOE model. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics, and Business, 7(11), 915-925.
- Erlangga, H. (2021). Effect of Digital Marketing and Social Media on Purchase Intention of SMEs Food Products. Turkish Journal of Computer and Mathematics Education, 12(3), 3672-3678.
- Eze, S. C., Chinedu-Eze, V. C., & Bello, A. O. (2020). Some antecedent factors that shape SMEs adoption of social media marketing applications: a hybrid approach. Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management, 12(1), pp. 41-61.
- Gonzales, I., & Li, J. C. H. (2022). What effect sizes should researchers report for multiple regression under non-normal data? Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation, 3 (1), 1-19.

- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J. and Anderson, R. E. (2010). Multivariate data analysis (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Hartanto, N., & Soelaiman, L. (2021). Factors Affecting Social Media Adoption among SMEs in Jakarta. In International Conference on Economics, Business, Social, and Humanities (ICEBSH 2021) (pp. 486-491). Atlantis Press.
- Izaak, W. C., Khristi, T. C., & Kusumawardhani, N. I. (2022). Social Media Marketing and TOE Framework Exploration in Digital Micro or Small and Medium Enterprises. Journal of Economics, Business, and Government Challenges, 5(1), 20-26.
- Khamaludin, K., Syam, S., Rismaningsih, F., Lusiani, L., Arlianti, L., Herlani, A. & Widiyatun, F. (2022). The influence of social media marketing, product innovation and market orientation on Indonesian SMEs marketing performance. International Journal of Data and Network Science, 6(1), 9-16.
- Khodaei, F., Fatahi, F., Rouhbakhsh, N., Jalaie, S., & Koravand, A. (2022). Validity and Reliability of the Persian Versions of Primary and Secondary Screening Instrument for Targeting Educational Risk Questionnaires. Auditory and Vestibular Research, 31(1), 60-68.
- Kidd, K. M., Sequeira, G. M., Rothenberger, S. D., Paglisotti, T., Kristjansson, A., Schweiberger, K. & Coulter, R. W. (2022). Operationalizing and analyzing 2-step gender identity questions: Methodological and ethical considerations. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association, 29(2), 249-256.
- Lopez, M. (2022). The effect of sampling mode on response rate and bias in elite surveys. Quality & Quantity, 3(3), 1-17.
- McCann, M. & Barlow, A. (2015), "Use and measurement of social media for SMEs", Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 22(2), 273-287.
- Malesev, S., & Cherry, M. (2021). Digital and social media marketing-growing market share for construction SMEs. Construction Economics and Building, 21(1), 65-82.
- Matikiti, R., Mpinganjira, M., & Roberts-Lombard, M. (2018). Application of the Technology Acceptance Model and the Technology–Organization– Environment Model to examine social media marketing use in the South African tourism industry. South African Journal of Information Management, 20(1), 1-12.
- Maxwell, S. E. (2000). Sample size and multiple regression analysis. Psychological methods, 5(4), 434.
- Mazengo, S., and Mwaifyusi, H. (2021). The determinants of liquidity, profitability, and company size on dividend payout: Evidence from financial institutions

listed in Dar Es Salaam Stock Exchange. Business Education Journal. 1 (2), pp.1-12.

- Mmasi, A., & Mwaifyusi, H. A. (2021). Determinants of Brand Performance: Empirical Evidence from Tanzanian Brewing Companies, Business Education Journal, 11 (1), 1-16.
- Nawi, N. B. C., Al Mamun, A., Nasir, N. A. B. M., Raston, N. A., & Fazal, S. A. (2017). Acceptance and usage of social media as a platform among student entrepreneurs. Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development, 24 (2), 375-393.
- Osborne, J. W., & Waters, E. (2002). Four assumptions of multiple regression that researchers should always test. Practical assessment, research, and evaluation, 8(1), 2-18.
- Patma, T. S., Wardana, L. W., Wibowo, A., Narmaditya, B. S., & Akbarina, F. (2021). The impact of social media marketing for Indonesian SMEs sustainability: Lesson from Covid-19 pandemic. Cogent Business & Management, 8(1), 195-211.
- Qalati, S. A., Yuan, L. W., Khan, M. A. S., & Anwar, F. (2021). A mediated model on the adoption of social media and SMEs' performance in developing countries. Technology and Society, (64), 101513.
- Qalati, S. A., Ostic, D., Sulaiman, M. A. B. A., Gopang, A. A., & Khan, A. (2022). Social Media and SMEs' Performance in Developing Countries: Effects of Technological-Organizational-Environmental Factors on the Adoption of Social Media. SAGE Open, 12(2), 215-224.
- Reading, N. (2020). A combinatorial approach to scattering diagrams. Algebraic Combinatorics, 3(3), 603-636.
- Rugova, B., & Prenaj, B. (2016). Social media as marketing tool for SMEs: opportunities and challenges. Academic Journal of Business, 2(3), 85-97.
- Sacomori, C., Lorca, L. A., Martinez-Mardones, M., Benavente, P., Plasser, J., & Pardoe, M. (2022). Spanish translation, face validity, and reliability of the ICIQ-B Questionnaire with colorectal cancer patients. Journal of Coloproctology (Rio de Janeiro), 41, 340-347.
- Schmidt, A. F., & Finan, C. (2018). Linear regression and the normality assumption. Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 98, 146-151.
- Shieh, G. (2010). Sample size determination for confidence intervals of interaction effects in moderated multiple regression with continuous predictor and moderator variables. Behavior research methods, 42(3), 824-835.
- SIDO (2020). Tanzanian Industrial SMES Cluster Mapping Report. Small Industries Development Organisation (SIDO), Tanzania.

- Sugandini, D., Effendi, M. I., Istanto, Y., & Arundati, R. (2022). Social Media Adoption on SMEs in Indonesia: TOE Model. In International Conference on Business and Technology (pp. 793-804). Springer, Cham.
- Sunarsih, N. M., Dewi, N. P. S., & Kireina, M. A. (2019). Analysis of factors effecting the firm value factors that affect the firm value. International Journal of Applied Business and International Management (IJABIM), 4(3), 94-103.
- Swallehe, O. (2021). The Determinants of Adoption of Social Media Marketing Among SMEs in Tanzania. IUP Journal of Marketing Management, 20(1), 7-39
- Trawnih, A., Yaseen, H., Al-Adwan, A. S., Alsoud, R., & Jaber, O. A. (2021). Factors influencing social media adoption among SMEs during Covid-19 crisis. Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences, 24(6), 1-18.
- Wulandari, A., Suryawardani, B., & Marcelino, D. (2020). Social Media Technology Adoption for Improving MSMEs Performance in Bandung: A Technology-Organization-Environment (TOE) Framework. In 2020 8th International Conference on Cyber and IT Service Management (CITSM) (pp. 1-7). IEEE.
- Xu, G., & Gui, B. (2021). The n on-linearity between finance and economic growth: a literature review and evidence from China. Asian-Pacific Economic Literature, 35(1), 3-18.
- Zhang, X., & Belsky, J. (2022). Three phases of Environment interaction research: Theoretical assumptions underlying gene selection. Development and Psychopathology, 34(1), 295-306.



Managing Editor African Journal of Accounting and Social Science Studies (AJASSS) Tanzania Institute of Accountancy P. O. Box 9522, Dar es Salaam Tanzania E-mail: ajasss@tia.ac.tz